

Gravitational Mesolensing by King Objects and Quasar-Galaxy Associations

Yu.V.Baryshev and Yu.L.Bukhmastova

*Astronomical Institute, St. Petersburg State University,
St.Petersburg, Russia, bukh_julia@mail.ru*

1. INTRODUCTION

The question of whether there is a physical relation between quasars and bright galaxies has been discussed in the literature for 30 years [1-3; 4 ch.12]. In one recent work devoted to this problem [5], an automated search for close quasar-galaxy pairs was undertaken with angular resolution better than $10'$. The observed number of such pairs exceeds the number of expected random coincidences by a significant factor. For example, a simple estimate of the probability of finding a randomly-positioned object at an angular distance of $2'$ from a galaxy with magnitude brighter than 15^m gives value of about 10^{-3} . Consequently, the expected number of random pairs in a sample containing 5000 quasars is 5, while the catalog referred to above contains 38 such pairs. The possibility of a physical relation between quasars and galaxies in associations is also supported by the "angular separation-redshift" diagram for the galaxies, constructed for 392 pairs. According to this observational relationship, the mean linear separation between the galaxy and quasar projected onto the celestial sphere remains constant and is equal to about 100 kpc. Based on these data, Burbidge et al. [5] conclude that quasars tend to reside near the halos of normal galaxies far more often than is expected for random projections, and that this physical relation demands an explanation. At least two different interpretations of this observed "Arp effect" are possible:

(1) Quasars in associations are located in the halos of nearby galaxies, so that their redshifts are not cosmological, i.e., they do not reflect the distance to these objects.

(2) Quasars in associations are only projected onto the halos of nearby galaxies; their redshifts preserve their cosmological origin, and the increased apparent luminosity of such quasars is the result of gravitational lensing by objects located in the galactic halos.

In his papers [1-3], Arp holds to the first possibility, because no other compelling explanations were proposed. Accordingly, he considers it necessary to accept the noncosmological nature of the quasar redshifts, and to invoke new physics to interpret the observed effect [2].

Interpretation of quasar-galaxy associations as the result of gravitational lensing by galaxy halo stars (microlensing) was first suggested in [6]. However, it was later shown [7,8,4] that the gravitational microlensing hypothesis cannot account for the observed effect because of the extremely small observed surface density of faint quasars that provide the sources for the microlensing. This gave indirect support to the first interpretation, since it was thought that gravitational lensing in general could not explain the occurrence of quasar-galaxy associations [4]. For example, in [3], it was concluded that the existence of quasar-galaxy associations could, in principle, be explained using standard physics if gravitational lensing played a significant role in the halos of bright galaxies. In particular, quasars located in the dwarf satellites of bright galaxies could be explained by simply postulating the existence of compact lensing objects at the ends of bridges connecting these satellites to the parent galaxy (the "lorgnette" postulate, in Arp's terminology). However, Arp believes that several arguments strongly oppose the gravitational micro-lensing hypothesis:

(1) In pairs with nearby galaxies, the quasars predominantly lie at distances of a few times the diameters of the galaxy itself. In this case, the microlenses must occupy a huge space around their galaxies, and an extremely high halo mass is required to account for the observed number of associations.

(2) Quasar-galaxy associations in galaxy groups are most often found not for the main galaxy, but for a companion galaxy in the group.

(3) Quasars with the highest redshift z are associated with galaxies with the lowest z .

(4) Counts of faint quasars showed that their number is insufficient to provide the observed effect. It was concluded in [8, 4] that the quasar luminosity function has a gentler slope than required for strong gravitational lensing.

(5) Analysis of archival data on quasar variability in associations [9] showed the absence of such variability on timescales up to a few decades, which contradicts the microlensing hypothesis.

However, we will show here that these arguments do not, in general, contradict the gravitational microlensing hypothesis. In particular, if the gravitational lenses are not stars, but objects such as globular clusters, dwarf galaxies, or clusterized hidden mass, all of the above problems can be removed. In addition, a quantitative interpretation of the Arp effect based on the gravitational lensing hypothesis requires that distant nuclei of active galaxies be taken as the sources, rather than quasars, and that the nonuniform distribution of lenses along the line of sight be taken into account. In Section 2, we describe the initial assumptions for the gravitational lensing

model adopted. The basic equations and results of calculations for extended lenses with King mass distributions are presented in Section 3. We interpret the observed properties of quasar-galaxy associations in Section 4. Observational tests of the adopted model are discussed in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we summarize our main conclusions.

2. INITIAL ASSUMPTIONS OF THE MODEL

In order to construct a gravitational lensing model, we must determine

- (1) the lensed objects (sources);
- (2) the lensing objects (lenses);
- (3) the distribution of lenses along the line of sight.

2.1. Sources

The lensed sources are usually taken to be weak quasars. It follows from argument (4) in Section 1, however, that the number of faint quasars is too small to provide a statistically significant effect. Here, we adopt the hypothesis of Barnothy and Tyson [10,11] that quasars, at least in part, are gravitationally amplified images of the nuclei of active galaxies. We accordingly adopt as the lensed sources compact massive objects located in the central regions of active galaxies, such as Seyfert galaxies and radio galaxies of all types. The number of these objects is large enough to account for the observed of associations with reasonable brightness amplification coefficients. According to the latest observations made with the Hubble space telescope, the surface density of normal galaxies with magnitudes $28^m - 29^m$ is of the order of 10^6 gal./deg^2 , which supports the result obtained by Tyson [12]. If 1% of such galaxies have active nuclei, we estimate that the lensed sources have a surface density of $10^4 \text{ sources/deg}^2$ at redshift $z_s \sim 1$.

2.2. Lenses

Papers concerning statistical analyses of gravitational lensing usually take the gravitational lenses to be point sources or isothermal spheres. Such models make it possible to obtain some analytic results, but cannot remove the contradictions associated with the arguments presented above. We will consider the gravitational lenses to be objects with a King mass distribution, which is widely encountered in nature and approximates well the density profiles of globular clusters, dwarf galaxies, and possibly other self-gravitating objects with hidden mass.

Globular clusters were first considered as gravitational lens candidates in [13], in connection with the association between the quasar 3C455 and the nearby galaxy NGC 7413, using a M15-type globular cluster as an example. In [14], Baryshev et al. proposed globular clusters and other objects with mass $10^3 \div 10^9 M_\odot$ as gravitational lenses ("mesolenses") in order to account for the properties of quasar-galaxy associations. Here, we use the physical parameters of globular clusters given in [15] to obtain specific quantitative results. We have the required data on core radius, total radius, central volume density, and mass for 56 globular clusters (see the table 1).

The radial dependence of the volume density of globular clusters is given by a King law [16],

$$\rho(p) = \begin{cases} \rho_0 \cdot (1 + p^2/r_c^2)^{-3/2}, & \text{for } p < r_t; \\ 0, & \text{for } p \geq r_t \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where p is the impact parameter, ρ_0 is the central volume density, r_c is the core radius, and r_t is the outer radius of the cluster. When $r_t \gg r_c$, the central surface density $\sigma_0 [\text{g/cm}^2]$ can be expressed in terms of the central volume density

$$\sigma_0 = 2\rho_0 r_c \quad (2)$$

or in terms of the globular cluster mass :

$$\sigma_0 = 10 \left(\frac{M}{10^6 M_\odot} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ pc}}{r_c} \right)^2 \text{ g/cm}^2, \quad (2a)$$

For the globular cluster sample [15], the ratio r_t/r_c lies in the range 5 – 630, while σ_0 lies between 0.02 and 140 g/cm². The surface density distribution of globular clusters is well represented by the logarithmic Gaussian dependence

$$f_{\sigma_0}(x) = \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}} \quad (3)$$

where $x = 0.1\sigma_0$, $\mu = 0.1$, $\sigma = 3$.

The focal distance of a King lens is related to σ_0 by the expression [17]:

$$F_L = 3.5 \left(\frac{100 \text{ g/cm}^2}{\sigma_0} \right) \text{ Mpc} \quad (4)$$

The number of globular clusters in galactic halos may constitute from several hundreds to thousands. Thus, globular clusters can be considered good gravitational lens candidates for accounting for the observed quasar-galaxy associations. Globular clusters can manifest themselves at distances of several Mpc from the observer or the source, corresponding to $\Delta z \geq 4 \cdot 10^{-4}$.

Table 1. Data on globular clusters

NGC	$\lg \frac{M}{M_\odot}$	r_c pc	r_t pc	r_t/r_c	$\lg \rho_0$ $M_\odot pc^{-3}$	σ_0 g cm $^{-2}$
104	6.1	0.50	79.24	158.48	5.1	25.8
288	4.9	3.46	27.48	7.94	2.1	0.18
362	5.5	0.42	58.87	140.16	4.7	8.5
1851	6.0	0.27	85.38	316.22	5.7	56.9
1904	5.2	0.60	37.85	63.09	4.2	4.0
2419	5.6	8.51	213.76	25.11	1.4	0.08
2808	6.2	0.70	55.6	79.43	4.9	23.4
3201	5.5	2.08	41.50	19.95	3.0	0.87
4147	4.7	0.54	42.89	79.43	3.7	1.13
4590	5.0	1.86	93.22	50.11	2.5	0.24
5053	4.7	10.47	66.06	6.30	0.6	0.02
5139	6.6	3.71	58.79	15.84	3.5	4.93
5272	5.8	1.47	147	100	3.5	1.95
5286	5.5	0.77	24.34	31.62	4.3	6.46
5466	5.2	8.91	281.7	31.62	0.8	0.02
5694	5.4	0.58	58	100	4.3	4.87
5824	6.6	0.52	260.6	501.18	5.3	43.68
5904	5.6	0.89	89	100	4.0	3.74
5946	4.9	0.23	45.89	199.5	4.8	6.11
6093	6.0	0.36	45.32	125.89	5.4	38.07
6121	4.8	0.48	19.11	39.81	4.1	2.54
6171	5.1	1.0	31.62	31.62	3.5	1.33
6205	5.8	1.81	57.23	31.62	3.4	1.91
6218	5.1	1.07	26.87	25.11	3.5	1.42
6254	5.4	1.07	26.87	25.11	3.8	2.84
6256	5.3	0.06	37.85	630.95	6.6	100.56
6266	5.8	0.28	21.73	77.62	5.7	59.07
6284	5.4	0.25	49.88	199.52	5.2	16.68
6293	5.6	0.11	69.40	630.95	6.3	92.4
6325	5.1	0.05	31.50	630.95	6.7	105.4
6341	5.3	0.51	40.50	79.43	4.4	5.39
6342	5.1	0.16	50.59	316.22	5.4	16.92
6366	4.0	2.13	16.91	7.94	1.9	0.07
6362	5.0	2.95	37.13	12.58	2.2	0.19
6388	6.2	0.39	24.6	63.09	5.7	82.2

Table 1. Data on globular clusters (continue)

NGC	$\lg \frac{M}{M_{\odot}}$	r_c pc	r_t pc	r_t/r_c	$\lg \rho_0$ $M_{\odot}pc^{-3}$	σ_0 $g\text{ cm}^{-2}$
6397	5.4	0.03	18.92	630.9	5.3	2.52
6441	6.2	0.34	34	100	5.8	90.3
6522	5.3	0.10	39.8	398.1	6.1	53.0
6535	4.2	0.83	20.84	25.11	3.6	1.39
6541	5.6	0.57	113.7	199.52	5.5	75.88
6558	4.4	0.08	25.29	316.2	5.6	13.4
6624	5.2	0.15	47.43	316.2	5.6	25.14
6626	5.4	0.41	20.54	50.11	4.9	13.71
6656	5.7	1.23	24.54	19.95	4.0	5.17
6681	5.2	0.06	60	1000	6.5	79.87
6712	5.0	1.86	14.77	7.94	3.0	0.78
6715	6.3	0.66	66	100	5.0	27.78
6752	5.2	0.21	66.4	316.2	5.2	14.01
6779	5.0	1.04	26.12	25.11	3.4	1.09
6809	5.3	3.98	19.94	5.01	2.5	0.52
6838	4.5	0.72	14.36	19.95	2.8	0.19
6864	5.9	0.51	51	100	4.9	17.05
6934	5.3	1.07	42.59	39.81	3.6	1.79
7078	6.3	0.21	132.5	630.95	6.2	140.12
7089	6.0	1.17	92.93	79.43	4.0	4.92
7099	5.3	0.12	60.14	501.1	5.9	40.12

2.3. The lens distribution along the line of sight

Since we are taking globular clusters in galactic halos to be the gravitational lenses, the lens distribution in space is determined by the large-scale distribution of galaxies. In recent years, there has been considerable progress in the study of the large-scale structure of the Universe via massive measurements of redshifts for deep galactic samples (see the reviews [18,19,20]). Statistical analyses of data on the three-dimensional distribution of galaxies have shown the presence of fractal structure up to a few hundreds of Mpc [21, 22, 23], and faint galaxy counts suggest that this fractality continues to distances of 1000 Mpc [20, 24].

The necessity of taking into account the fractal distribution of gravitational lenses in statistical analyses of lensing effects was first discussed in [25]. Following this work, we choose the following parametric representation

for the density of lensing objects along the line of sight between the source and the observer:

$$n_l(R) = 0.5n_{ol}\left[\left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{D_F-3} + \left(\frac{R_s - R}{R_0}\right)^{D_F-3}\right], \quad (5)$$

Here, $n_{ol}(R_0)$ is the density of objects at a distance R_0 , R and R_s are the distances to the lens and the source, respectively; and D_F is the fractal dimension of the galaxy distribution. The uniform lens distribution considered here is obtained from (5) with $D_F = 3$, in which case $n_l(R) = \text{const.}$ The observed value of the fractal dimension is close to $D_F \sim 2$ [19, 20].

3. LENSING BY KING OBJECTS

One of the key assumptions in our model is that the gravitational lenses have King mass distributions, described by equations (1). King lenses have been analyzed in considerable detail in [17], and we use the results of this work for our lensing probability calculations.

3.1. Basic Equations

Figure 1 presents a diagram of the general lensing geometry.

The basic equation of a gravitational lens is the condition for a ray to reach the observer:

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{x - y}{g}, \quad (6)$$

where $x = l/r_c$ is the impact parameter in the lens plane in units of the lens core radius r_c , $y = l_s/r_c$ is the deviation of the source l_s from the observer-lens axis in the lens plane in units of r_c ; $\alpha = \delta(l)/\alpha_c$ is the deflection angle $\delta(l)$ of a ray passing at the impact distance l in units of the angle $\alpha_c = r_c/F_L$ subtended by the lens core at the lens focus. The lens position parameter g is given by

$$g = \frac{R_{OL}R_{LS}}{R_{OS}F_L}, \quad (7)$$

where F_L is the focal length of the lens and R_{OL} , R_{LS} and R_{OS} are the observer-lens, lens-source, and observer-source distances (the angle β is assumed to be small).

For distant objects, we must calculate their distances using some particular cosmological model. We can represent the relation between the angular distance R and the redshift z in the general form

$$R = R_H \Psi(z), \quad (8)$$

where $\Psi(z)$ is a normalized angular distance that depends on the parameters of the cosmological model (q_0, Λ и др.), and $R_H = c/H_0$ is the Hubble distance. There is not currently a generally accepted opinion about the most appropriate cosmological parameters ; for convenience in the calculations, we adopt here $\Psi(z) = z$, $H_0 = 75 \text{ km/s Mpc}$, and $R_H = 4000 \text{ Mpc}$. The expression for the parameter g then takes the form

$$g = 11.4a(1-a)z_s \left(\frac{\sigma_0}{1[\text{g/cm}^2]} \right), \quad (9)$$

where $a = z_L/z_S$ is the relative position of the lens ; z_S and z_L are the redshifts of the source and lens, respectively; and σ_0 is the central surface density of the lens given by (2).

The gravitational deflection of rays passing at a distance equal to the impact parameter l is determined by the mass contained in the sphere of radius l . The normalized deflection angle in a King model is

$$\alpha(x) = \frac{\Lambda(x)}{x}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Lambda(x) = \begin{cases} \ln(1+x^2) - 4(1+x_t^2)^{-1/2} \cdot [(1+x^2)^{1/2} - 1] + x^2(1+x_t^2)^{-1}, & \text{for } |x| < x_t \\ \Lambda(x_t), & \text{for } |x| \geq x_t \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Here, $x = l/r_c$, $x_t = r_t/r_c$.

Simultaneous solution of equations (6) and (10) provides information about the number of images and their positions in the lens plane for a fixed parameter g . Figure 2 presents a classification of possible situations for the case of lensing of a point source by a King lens.

It is clear from these images that we are always capable of seeing one, two, or three source images. The origin of the coordinate system coincides with the lens center, making it possible to determine the position of the image relative to the lens center. A King model has a focus and two caustics: axial and conic. The point with the coordinates $g = 1$, $y = 0$ corresponds to the focus of the lens, where all three images merge into a single image (Fig.2, graph "b" on the right). All caustics meet at the focus. A single image forms for various positions of the observer when $g < 1$, and for $y > y_k$ when $g \geq 1$ (region I). For $g > 1$ and $0 < y < y_k$, all three images form (region II). When caustics intersect, two images merge into a single image. When $g > 1$ and $y = 0$, the observer is located at the axial caustic, and we observe a central image and a ring of radius x (Fig. 2, graph "o" on the right). When $g > 1$

and $y = y_k$, the observer is located at the conic caustic (Fig.2, graph "k" on the right) and there is a merging of two images. When $y > y_k$, this merged image entirely disappears; in the case $y < y_k$, it splits into two separate images.

3.2. Amplification coefficient

Among the main manifestations of gravitational focusing are included not only image multiplication, but also possible image amplification. The amplification coefficient is given by the expression $k = k_1 \cdot k_2$, where

$$k_1 = \frac{dx}{dy} = \left[1 - g \frac{\alpha(x)}{dx}\right]^{-1}, \quad k_2 = \frac{x}{y} = \left[1 - g \frac{\alpha(x)}{x}\right]^{-1}$$

For $|x| \ll x_t$ we have, with a high degree of accuracy

$$k = \left[2 - \frac{2g}{1+x^2} - \frac{y}{x}\right]^{-1} \cdot \left[\frac{y}{x}\right]^{-1} \quad (12)$$

This formula shows that there is infinite amplification at the lens focus ($y = 0$, $g = 1$), at the axial caustic ($g > 1$, $y = 0$), and at the conic caustic ($\frac{2g}{1+x^2} + \frac{y}{x} - 2 = 0$). The infinite amplification is a consequence of our use of the geometric optics approximation. Allowance for any ray divergence leads to a finite amplification. In particular, we can take into account the angular size of the source, Θ_0 , then

$$k_{max} \approx \frac{\xi}{R_{LO}\Theta_0}, \quad (13)$$

where $\xi = \sqrt{2r_g \frac{R_{OL}R_{LS}}{R_{OS}}}$ is the radius of the Khvol'son-Einstein ring, and $\Theta_0 = r_s/R_{OS}$ is the angular size of a source with linear size r_s located at a distance R_{OS} . It follows from (13) that the extended sources cannot provide higher amplification coefficients, but if we consider the lensed sources to be objects made up of individual stars and interstellar clouds, the total amplification will be the sum of the amplification coefficients of the individual components. In this way, both the lines and the continuum in the source spectrum can be amplified by the same factor. If the source size is $10^{16}\text{cm} (\sim 10^{-2} \text{ pc})$ and the mass of the lens is $10^6 M_\odot$, then $k_{max} \sim 10^4$, corresponding to a brightness amplification of 10^m . Figure 3 shows the dependence of the amplification coefficient k on the displacement y of the source in the lens plane.

The amplification coefficient k as a function of the lens position a on the observer-source axis for various values of y and σ_0 is plotted in Fig.4.

We can speak of a significant amplification coefficient only if the observer is not between the focus and the lens, so that $g \geq 1$. The position of the focus is determined by the central surface density of the lens, and thus we can determine the minimum density σ_{0cr} corresponding to the condition $g = 1$. Using (4) and (9), we obtain

$$\sigma_{0cr}(a, z_s) = \frac{0.077}{a(1-a)z_s} [\text{g/cm}^2]. \quad (14)$$

According to (14), for any fixed a and z_s of the total distribution f_{σ_0} of the lenses in σ_0 , only objects with $\sigma_0 \geq \sigma_{0cr}$ take part in the lensing.

3.3. The lens cross-section

A necessary condition for strong gravitational lensing is that the observer be located near the axial or conic caustic. The size of this region is determined by the lens position parameter g and by the desired value of the amplification coefficient k . The dimensionless cross section of the caustic regions in the lens plane has two terms:

$$S(k, g) = S_0 + S_k = \pi \Delta y_0^2 + \pi(y_k^2 - y_2^2), \quad (15)$$

Here, Δy_0 is the radius of the cross section of the axial caustic; $y_k = y_2(k_{max}, g)$, $y_2 = y_2(k, g)$, S_k is the cross section of the conic caustic; and S_0 is the cross section of the axial caustic. The dimensional cross section is obtained by multiplying (15) by r_c^2 . Figures 5 and 6 present a visual representation of the position of the conic caustic and the relation between the conic and axial regions.

Numerical calculations show that S_0 increases and S_k decreases with increasing g . For a fixed source redshift z_s and a central surface density σ_0 , the value of g increases when a and σ_0 increase. Thus, as the lens moves to the center, the cross section of the axial caustic decreases, while the cross section of the conic caustic decreases. Similarly, when the lens moves toward the observer or toward the source, the cross section of the axial caustic decreases, and the cross section of the conic caustic increases. When g grows, the radius of the cross section of the conic caustic also grows, while its thickness decreases. Thus, the area of the cross section of the conic caustic is determined by the relative rate of these two processes. Since $\Delta y_k \sim \frac{1}{k^2}$, we have $\Delta y'_k \sim \frac{1}{k^3}$. This relationship shows that the rate of change of the

thickness decreases with increasing k , while the radius of the region S_k is determined only by the value of g (Fig. 2), i.e., it does not depend on k . The behavior of the total cross section is determined by the behavior of S_k and S_o . If no constraints are imposed on y the axial caustic dominates over the conic caustic, and the function $S(k, g)$ has a maximum at the center (Fig.6). Figure 7 shows the dependence of the total cross section on g for various amplification coefficients.

The presence of the conic caustic is advantageous for the King model compared to a point lens model (applicable for individual stellar lenses) or an isothermal sphere lens model (applicable for spiral galaxy lenses). Because y_k varies between 0 and r_t when a is changed from 0 to 1, we can always locate the observer near the conic caustic for any given lens position by properly choosing the value of σ_0 . Thus, the conic caustic offers greater freedom in moving the source in the lens plane and does not require an exact alignment of the observer, source, and lens on the same axis. The important role of conic caustics is pointed out in [26].

3.4. Lensing probability

We will now define the number of lenses in the volume $dV = 4\pi z_l^2 dz_l$ with the surface density σ_0 in the interval $d\sigma_0$ to be

$$dN = n_l f(\sigma_0) dV d\sigma_0, \quad (16)$$

where $f(\sigma_0)$ is the surface density distribution of the lenses, which, according to (5), can be written in the form

$$n_l = n_{0l} \left[(z_l)^{D-3} + (z_s - z_l)^{D-3} \right]. \quad (17)$$

We choose n_{0l} so as to satisfy the relation

$$n_{0l} \cdot \bar{M}_{GC} = \rho_{cr} \Omega_l, \quad (18)$$

at $R_0 = R_H$. Here, Ω_l is the mass fraction that is included in lenses; $\rho_{cr} \approx 10^{-7} M_\odot \text{pc}^{-3}$ is the critical density of matter; and $\bar{M}_{GC}$ is the mean mass of a globular cluster. Let us assume that all sources are located on a sphere of area $4\pi z_s^2$. We can carry over all cross sections from the lens plane to the source plane; we thus obtain the cross section in the source plane,

$$d\hat{S} = S * \left(\frac{z_s}{z_l} \right)^2 dN. \quad (19)$$

Dividing this value by the source sphere area, we obtain the differential probability of lensing a source located at the distance z_s by a lens with surface

density σ_0 at the distance z_l , with the amplification coefficient k , and with the fractal dimension of the lens distribution along the line of sight, D_F :

$$dP(k, z, z_s, D_F) = \frac{d\hat{S}}{4\pi z_s^2}. \quad (20)$$

Accordingly, after integrating over all possible lens positions and all allowable densities, we obtain the probability of lensing with amplification coefficient exceeding k ,

$$P(k, z_s, D_F) = \frac{c}{H_0} n_{0l} r_c^2 \int_{\sigma_{0cr}(z_l, z_s)}^{\infty} \int_{z_*}^{z_s - z_*} S(k, z_l, z_s, \sigma_0) f(\sigma_0) \left[(z_l)^{D_F-3} + (z_s - z_l)^{D_F-3} \right] d\sigma_0 dz_l, \quad (21)$$

where z_* is the initial level of fractality. Let us assume that all the sources have $z_s = 1$ and the 25% of the mass of matter in the Universe. We will estimate the probability of lensing by globular clusters compared to the probability of lensing by "point" objects for the case of a uniform lens distribution. It is clear from Fig.8 that the probability of lensing by a King object is comparable to the probability for a point model [4]. Figure 8 also shows the dependence of $P(k)$ for King lenses in the case of a fractal distribution with dimension two.

The presence of fractal structure increases the probability of lensing by nearly an order of magnitude. This plot also indicates that the differential probability function $p(k) = dP/dk$ obeys the law

$$p(k)dk \sim k^{-3}dk. \quad (22)$$

Figure 9 shows the dependence of the differential probability (20) on the relative position of the lens a on the observer– source axis for two fractal dimensions, $D_F = 2$ and $D_F = 3$, and two amplifications, 5^m and 8^m .

It is clear that, for a uniform distribution of galaxies in space (curves 1 and 3), the most likely position of the lens is halfway between the source and the observer. For a fractal distribution with amplification coefficients of the order of 8^m and higher (curve 4), the location of maximum probability for finding the lens is displaced toward the observer and toward the source (the distribution has two humps). At lower amplifications for a fractal distribution (curve 2), we obtain a nearly flat distribution.

4. INTERPRETATION OF QUASAR-GALAXY ASSOCIATIONS

Using the catalog of associations [5], we will attempt to obtain some observed properties of the associations that must be accounted for by the proposed gravitational lensing model. This catalog contains a total of 577 quasars and about 500 galaxies with which the quasars form associations. Fourteen quasars have absorption lines with the same z as the galaxy. Of these objects, we will select pairs satisfying the requirements:

- (1) There are data for m_Q , z_Q , z_G ;
- (2) $z_G < z_Q$;
- (3) The quasars are at angular distances not exceeding the angular distance corresponding to a linear distance of 150 kpc.
- (4) If a quasar is projected onto several galaxies, we chose the galaxy with the minimum linear distance from the quasar. As many as 241 pairs satisfy these criteria. Let us first estimate the expected number of associations based on the calculated probability of lensing the nuclei of active galaxies. As we noticed in Subsection 2.1, the number of active nuclei in galaxies with apparent magnitudes down to $28^m - 29^m$ is estimated to be $\sim 10^4 \text{ deg}^{-2}$. The integrated probability of lensing by globular cluster-type objects with amplifications of $5^m - 8^m$ is of the order of $10^{-5} - 10^{-7}$. Thus, the expected number of quasars in associations could be of the order of $\sim 10^2 - 10^4$, which is comparable to the observed value (~ 500 pairs.) This means that quasars with high amplification coefficients are encountered in associations, and that quasars in associations may have, on the average, higher brightnesses than other quasars. Figure 10 presents the distribution of the number of quasar-galaxy pairs as a function of the parameter $a = z_G/z_Q$, specifying the relative lens position along the line of sight for several fixed halo sizes and several z_Q values.

We can see a clear tendency for associations to concentrate at $a < 0.1$ and $a > 0.9$, i.e., there is an excess of gravitational lenses near the observer and near the source. This behavior of the associations agrees well with the theoretical behavior of the differential lensing probability shown in Fig. 9. The smaller number of pairs with $a \sim 0.9$ compared to $a \sim 0.1$ may be due to an observational selection effect: the farther away the lens, the harder it is to detect it. It is possible that some quasars not included in associations are also lensed, since, if a quasar is not in an association, it may be that the galaxy is located at a greater distance, nearer to the quasar, and is simply not detected. In this case, the galaxy can be revealed from the absorption lines observed in the quasar spectra. Figure 11 shows a histogram of the $(1 + z_{em})/(1 + z_{abs})$ ratio for quasars with absorptions [27].

This dependence is in agreement with the lensing model, since there is an excess of systems with $z_{em} \sim z_{abs}$. The detection of systems with $z_{abs} \ll z_{em}$ is difficult, since in this case, the absorption lines are strongly shifted toward the ultraviolet. Some observational material is available, however. Among 14 quasars with absorptions in the Arp catalog of quasars, 7 have $z_{abs} < 0.1z_{em}$.

An additional argument in favor of the gravitational lensing model presented here is the similarity between the surface density distribution of globular clusters and the critical surface density distribution of associations calculated from formula (14). Figure 12 presents the distributions $f_{\sigma 0}$ and $f_{\sigma cr}$ in the range <100 [g/cm²].

The critical density is reached at the lens focus ($g = 1$). Theoretically, such behavior of the predicted critical density is expected, since at large amplifications a significant portion of the cross section is concentrated in the focal region (Fig. 7).

Thus, we can conclude from the above estimates that quasars in associations may be gravitationally amplified images of the nuclei of active galaxies, and that globular clusters in galactic halos play the role of the gravitational lenses. The arguments against gravitational lensing presented in [3] lose their force in the framework of this model. First, the quasars are projected onto the galactic haloes because that is where globular clusters are primarily located, and the probability of lensing is sufficient for reasonable values of Ω_L . Second, companion galaxies in close galaxy groups may have an enhanced probability for the birth of globular cluster-type objects. Third, for the catalog of associations [5], there is no relation between the redshifts of the quasars and the galaxies. Fourth, since the nuclei of active galaxies are supposed to be the sources, there is no problem with the number of lensed objects. Fifth, and finally, variability associated with the motion of the globular clusters would be observable only on timescales of about 10^3 yr.

5. DISCUSSION

Since the existing observational data on quasar-galaxy associations are consistent with the proposed gravitational lensing model, it is naturally to pose the question of possible further observational tests. We can offer the following observational tests for the properties of the quasars listed in the catalog [5]:

(1) It follows from the estimated angular radius of the Khvol'son-Einstein ring that the expected angular splitting of quasar images by gravitational

lensing by globular cluster-type objects is of the order of milliarc-seconds:

$$\Theta_{Ch-E} \sim 30 \left(\frac{M}{10^6 M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{D}{10 \text{Mpc}} \right)^{-1/2}$$

Here, $D = \frac{R_{OL}R_{OS}}{R_{LS}}$ is the effective distance to the lens. For this reason, it is important to examine the structure of compact components on mass scales in quasars in associations that have sufficient radio fluxes for VLBI observations. Note that the theory does not exclude the occurrence of a single image (when the observer is in region I; see Fig. 2). There may also be no observed splitting due to inadequate dynamic range of the VLBI images.

(2) The expected flux variability associated with the motion of the globular cluster as a whole has a timescale longer than a thousand years, since the estimated characteristic variability timescale is given by I,.2).

$$t_{var} \sim \frac{R_{OL}\Theta_{Ch-E}}{v} \sim 10^3 \left(\frac{M}{10^6 M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{D'}{10 [\text{Mpc}]} \right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{v}{10^3 [\text{km/s}]} \right)^{-1} \text{yr},$$

where $D' = \frac{R_{LS}R_{OL}}{R_{OS}}$ is the reduced distance to the lenses and v is the velocity of the lens motion. However, there can be flux variability on timescales shorter than a year due to microlensing by individual stars in the globular clusters. Microlensing effects are more pronounced for quasars associated with nearby galaxies, since amplification by point objects depends on the ratio R_{OS}/R_{OL} (see formula (13)).

3) Since globular clusters belong to galactic haloes, absorption lines may arise in quasar spectra, with the z_{abs} , corresponding to the galaxy in which the lensing globular clusters are located. It is of interest to continue the work started in [28], concerning comparisons of the properties of quasars with absorptions and quasars in associations. In particular, the known effect that the number of absorption lines increases closer to the quasar may result from the fractal character of the large-scale distribution of galaxies along the line of sight. In this case, we also would expect an increase in the number of absorption lines closer to the observer.

(4) The equal status of the observer and the source inside a fractal structure suggests that, in addition to the "Arp effect" that distant quasars are observed near nearby galaxies, there should be an increased probability of finding near quasars faint galaxies with redshifts close to the quasar redshift. This effect may have revealed by Tyson [29], but a representative sample of "Tyson associations" must be collected and their properties compared to the properties of "Arp associations."

(5) Comparing the spectral properties of quasars associations with the properties of the active nuclei galaxies of various types may serve as a test for the structure of the emission-line formation region, which could be lensed differently by a King object.

(6) Comparing the properties of quasar-galaxy associations in galaxies of various types and in galaxy groups with the properties of globular cluster systems in the halos of similar galaxies may serve as a test for the reality of globular clusters as the gravitation lenses.

(7) Due to refraction of rays in a globular cluster lens, quasars with milliarcsecond jet structures, i.e., with jets in the innermost regions of their compact radio sources, may display curvature in the jet trajectories that is not associated with the real motion of matter ejected from the nucleus.

6. CONCLUSION

Our calculations for the probability of strong gravitational lensing by globular clusters populating the halos of normal galaxies and positioned along the source-observer line of sight offer a simple physical interpretation of the Arp effect: the observed occurrence rate of quasar-galaxy associations considerably exceeds the expected number of random projections. If we interpret the "Arp associations" as the effect of mesolensing, the quasars observed in the halos of nearby galaxies with a characteristic size of about 100 kpc are proposed to be images of compact objects in the nuclei of active galaxies located at a distance of $z \sim 1$, which are gravitationally amplified by $5^m - 8^m$. In this interpretation, the redshifts of quasars have a cosmological origin, and there is no need to invoke new physics.

The interpretation of the excess quasar– galaxy associations as an effect of gravitation lensing is possible only if three factors are simultaneously taken into account:

- (1) the use of the cross section of a King gravitational lens (the globular cluster) in place of a point or isothermal model;
- (2) use of a fractal lens distribution along the line of sight, instead of the standard uniform distribution;
- (3) the number of faint galaxies in recent extremely deep counts.

These three fundamental factors are based on modern observational data on globular clusters, the three-dimensional galaxy distributions from deep redshift surveys, and recent counts of faint galaxies down to 29^m . Some consequences of "hidden" (as a consequence of the extremely small angular splitting of the images) gravitational mesolensing can be tested with existing observing techniques.

REFERENCES

1. Arp, H., *Astrophys. J.*, 1966, vol. 148, p. 321.
2. Arp, H., *Quasars, Redshift and Controversies*, Berkeley: Interstellar Media, 1987.
3. Arp, H., *Astron. Astrophys.*, 1990, vol. 229, p. 93.
4. Schneider, P., Ehlers, J., and Faiko, E.E., *Gravitational Lenses*, New York: Springer, 1992.
5. Burbidge, G., Hewitt, A., Narlikar, J.V., and Das Gupta, P., *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.*, 1990, vol. 74, p. 675.
6. Canizares, C.R., *Nature*, 1981, vol. 291, p. 620.
7. Vietri, M. and Ostriker, J.R., *Astrophys. J.*, 1983, vol. 267, p. 488.
8. Linder, E.V. and Schneider, P., *Astron. Astrophys.*, 1988, vol. 204, p.L8.
9. Keel, W.C. *Astrophys. J.*, 1982, vol. 259, p. LI.
10. Barnothy, J.M., *Astron. J.*, 1965, vol. 70, p. 666.
11. Tyson, J. A., *Astrophys. J.*, 1981, vol. 248, p. L89.
12. Tyson, J. A., *Astron. J.*, 1988, vol. 96, p. 1.
13. Barnothy, J.M., *B.A.A.S.*, 1974, vol. 6. p. 212.
14. Baryshev, Yu.V., Raikov, A.A., and Yushchenko, A.V., *Gravitational Lenses in the Universe, 31st Liege Int. Astrophys. Coil.*, 1993, p. 307.
15. Djorgovski, S.G. and Meylan, G. *Structure and Dynamics of Globular Clusters*, PASP Cont. Ser., 1993, vol. 50.
16. King, I.R., *Astron. J.*, 1962, vol. 67, p. 471.
17. Yakovlev, D.G., Mitrofanov, I.G., Levshakov, S.A., and Varshalovich, D.A., *Astrophys. Space Sci.*, 1983, vol. 91, p.133.
18. Coleman, P.H. and Pietronero, L., *Phys. Rep.*, 1992, vol. 213, p. 311.
19. Baryshev, Yu.V., Sylos Labini, R., Montuori, M., and Pietronero, L., *Vistas Astron.*, 1994, vol. 38, p. 419.
20. Pietronero, L., Montuori, M., and Sylos Labini, R., *Proc. Int. Conf. "Critical Dialogues in Cosmology"*, Princeton, June 24-28, 1996 (in press).
21. Di Nella, H., Montuori, M., Paturel, G., Pietronero, L., and Sylos Labini, R., *Astron. Astrophys.*, 1996, vol. 308, p. L33.
22. Sylos Labini, R and Amendo, L., *Astrophys. J.*, 1996, vol. 468, p.LI.
23. Sylos Labini, R and Pietronero, L., *Astrophys. J.*, 1996, vol. 469.
24. Sylos Labini, R, Gabrielli, A., Montuori, M., and Pietronero, L., *Physica A* 1996, vol. 226, p. 195.
25. Baryshev, Yu.V., Raikov, A.A., and Tron, A.A., in *Gravitational Lenses in the Universe, 31st Liege Int. Astrophys. Coil.*, 1993, p. 365.
26. Ingel', L.Kh., *Astron. Zh.*, 1975, vol. 52, p. 727.

- 27. Junkkarinen, V., et al., *Astrophys. J., Suppl. Ser.*, 1991, vol. 77, p.203.
- 28. Dravskikh, A.R, *Astron. Zh.*, 1996, vol. 73, p. 19.
- 29. Tyson, J.A. *Astron. J.*, 1986, vol. 92, p. 691.

This figure "fig1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig3.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig4.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig5.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig6.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig7.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig8.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig9.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig10.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig11.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>

This figure "fig12.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0206348v1>